Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,969	MAGNONE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANDREW LAI	2473
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>ANDREW LAI</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Longo (Applicant's Representative)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 March 2011	Time: <u>~16:30</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 40		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed and explained to Mr. Longo about a withdrawal of issue of allowance of this case, which was previously allowed on 1/27/2011, because claim 40 should have been 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejected due to lack of antecedent basis. Examiner also proposed an Examiner's Amendment to clear said 112 2<sup>nd</sup> issue to put the case in condition for allowance. Mr. Longo accepted the explanation and authorized the proposed Examiner's Amendment, rendering the case allowable.